

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/603,946	06/25/2003	Kaveh Zarkar	56231-430 (MKS-132)	3126	
7590 01/27/2005			EXAMINER		
Toby H. Kusmer, Esq. McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			NOLAND, THOMAS		
28 State Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Boston, MA 02109			2856		
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005	DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/603,94	6 .	ZARKAR ET AL.	(8m)
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Thomas P.		2856	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the	cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addre	PSS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no eve ly within the statu will apply and wil e, cause the appli	nt, however, may a re tory minimum of thirty I expire SIX (6) MONT cation to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this comm NNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status				
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 J 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under I 	s action is no ance except	for formal matte		erits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 1.4.7-9.11.14.17.18 and 20 is/are allowable Claim(s) 3.5.6.10.13.15.16 and 19 is/are rejection claim(s) 2 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from cor owed. cted.			•
Application Papers				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) drawing(s) b ction is require	e held in abeyan ed if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have bee nts have bee prity docume au (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Apents have been e 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National St	age
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10162003. S. Patent and Trademark Office	3)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-1 	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/603,946 Page 2

Art Unit: 2856

1. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 6, line 3 before " for " - and now U.S. patent no. 6,712,084, - should be inserted.

 Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claims 2 and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: in line 2 of claims 2 and 12 " a " should be - an - -. Appropriate correction is required.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 3, 5-6, 10, 13, 15-16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 3, 5-6, 10, 13, 15-16 and 19 contain the trademark/trade names "DeviceNet", "GBROR", "Tru-Flo" and "BlueBox". Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the

Application/Control Number: 10/603,946 Page 3

Art Unit: 2856

claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe particular types of networks, flow verifiers or communication managers and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references show testing or calibration of flow devices or monitors. However neither they nor any other prior art of record showed or would have made obvious a system or a method for in-situ verification and calibration of flow control devices structured and operated as in claims 1 or 11 where a controller of the flow verification device is programmed to verify and, if necessary, calibrate the flow control devices over a first network physical layer based upon a single command provided through a second network physical layer with the network physical layers connected as claimed.
- 7. Claims 1, 4, 7-9, 11, 14, 17-18 and 20 have been allowed.
- 8. Claims 2 and 12 would be allowable if amended as suggested in paragraph 3 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,946 Page 4

Art Unit: 2856

9. Claims 3, 5-6, 10, 13, 15-16 and 19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Noland whose telephone number is (571) 272-2202. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Hezron E. Williams, can be reached on (571) 272-2208.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Thomas P. Noland Primary Examiner Art Unit 2856

tpn Jan. 23, 2005

homelle